Approved For Release 2002/11/18 : CIA-RDP86-01019R000200010002-1

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

Memorandum of Conversation

DATE: 8 November 1965

SUBJECT	:	Telephone Conversation 5 Nov '65 re Zoning Appeal					
PARTICIPANT	S:	Mr. Hussell Yntema (Code 182-8858)					
25X1A		o/ RD QOD/ OD					
COPIES TO	•	D/L; Office of Security OL/RE&CD	25X1A				

- 25X1A 1. Mr. Hussell Yntema called at the suggestion of to say that there was to be a zoning appeal presented at the Fairfax County Planning Commission on Wednesday, 10 November at 12 noon, attempting to change the zoning along Savile Lane property from one acre minimum to one-half acre. Mr. Yntema lives on Savile Lane apparently on a one acre tract and one of his neighbors, Mr. William Daley in conjunction with Mr. Reynolds, a builder in the area, are making a request for change in zoning from one acre to one-half acre. Mr. Yntema further stated that it was his understanding that Mr. Reynolds had also made some arrangements regarding the Neumeyer property also along Savile Lane.
 - 2. It was Mr. Yntema's hope that the Agency would object to this proposed change in a similar fashion to the objections we raised regarding the proposal made by Mr. Travers one year ago for a high rise apartment intended on the Darnes property. (Mr. Travers' request was denied by the Board of County Supervisors on 4 November 1964. RE&CD is attempting to find out if an appeal will be placed before the board after the 12 months required waiting period. This will be the subject of another memo.)
 - 3. I advised Mr. Yntema that my immediate opinion was that the Agency would not be in a position to object to a change in the density of residential zoning but that I would make an appropriate check of this and would be in a position to talk to him further on Monday. Following this conversation I called to discuss

Approved For Release 2002/11/18: CIA-RDP86-01019R000200010002-1

SUBJECT: Telephone Conversation 5 Nov 165 re Zoning Appeal

25X1A the matter with opinion I had expressed to Mr. Yntema. Also, the Director of Logistics informed the ADD/S of Mr. Yntema's request and the opinion was unchanged.

4. I received a second call from Mr. Yntema on 8 November and told him that we would not be able to give him a letter making the same type of statement as contained in our 28 October 1964 letter to the Chairman of County Supervisors. Mr. Yntema was most unhappy about this saying that we joined in as "a good neighbor" only when it was to our benefit and now that our friends in the area needed help from us we were not willing to stand by them. I tried to explain that we would still object to the establishment of multifamily zoning in the area, particularly on the previously proposed site, and that I was sorry that he could not see the difference in the present proposal and the one made in 1964. Mr. Yntema then stated that he was "getting nowhere" with me as I had not changed my mind since our initial conversation.

Chief, Real Estate & Construction Division, OL

Approved For Release 2002/13/18: CA-RDP86-01019R000200010002-1

, 9 NUV 1965

MEMOR	MITCHEA	TPOT	नामान	RECORD
TARREST AND A PARTY	73.141.7 CJIVI	T. COL	11111	TUCULU

25X1

SUBJECT: Rezoning Application for Lot 5 at Langley, Virginia

:	2.	The	petition	for	rezoning	requests	а.	change	from	the

- 2. The petition for rezoning requests a change from the present RE-1 to RE-0.5, which is from one-acre single family detached dwellings to half-acre sites for the same class of residences. The Fairfax County Planning Commission heard the case, but the resultant tie vote caused the petition to be reheard by the Board of County Supervisors. This hearing is scheduled for noon on Wednesday, 10 November 1965, at the Fairfax County Courthouse.
- 3. The Agency policy is to oppose, on security grounds, multifamily dwellings in the area. It does not object to the RE-0.5 zoning, although it is preferable to have a more sparse development in the area under Mr. Dulles' published statement that the Agency hoped to retain the rural aspects for the locale. Lot 5 is west of Saville Iane and abuts the former Revercomb property, which was acquired by the Agency under condemnation proceedings in June of 1962 because of threatened construction of a high-rise apartment building by the Grant-Holladay Construction Company of the Kaufman-Singer syndicate. However, Lot 2 containing 11.2 acres provides a buffer with the Headquarters Building entrance road from Route 123, Old Georgetown Pike.
- 4. The solicitation of support from the Agency to oppose the rezoning of Lot 5, which was posed to owner of Lot 8A, Hessel E. Yntema, Jr., could not be supported publicly under existing policies and was denied accordingly orally on 8 November 1965 by Chief, Real Estate and Construction Division/Office of Logistics.

C/AB/1	KECD/OL		

25X1A

25X1

Distribution:

Original - File

1 - C/RECD(OL

Approved For Release 2002/11/18 : CIA-R PP86 (A) P19R6 (00200010002-1

Excluded from automatic downgroding and declaration

Approved For Release 2002/11/18: CIA-RDP86-01019R000200010002-1

DD/S 65-5332

8 November 1965

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Saville Lane Property

- 1. On Friday, 5 November 1965, Mr. Meloon advised me that a Mr. Yntema of the Department of State had called soliciting the Agency's support in opposing a rezoning effort for the Saville Lane area. This rezoning effort is being headed by Mr. Daly who is a neighbor of Mr. Yntema and Walter Reynolds, a contractor. The proposal is to substitute one-half acre lots for the current one acre requirement. The hearing is to be held on 10 November.
- 2. Mr. Yntems was not acting as a Government representative, but solely as a Saville Lane property owner. He was informed that the Agency would not take a position in this regard since the Office of Security has stated that there is no security problem connected with such a rezoning. Mr. Yntems was not very happy at this word and requested that we re-examine our position as taken in connection with the rezoning application for high-rise apartments.
- 3. On 8 November I reaffirmed to Messrs. Meloon and that STATINTL Mr. Yntema should be advised that we would not take a position on this rezoning application and that the circumstances were quite different since in the former application the Government's interests were involved and they are not considered to be involved in the case at hand.

Alan M. Warfield
Assistant Deputy Director
for Support

cc: Director of Logistics

Noy 16, 11 32 MM 'S!

ADD/S:AMW:maq Distribution:

REAL ESTATE A CONSTRUCTION DIVISION

Orig - DD/S Subject

1 - DD/S Chrono

Approved For Release 2002/11/18 : CIA-RDP86-01019R000200010002-1

ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY